

George Will (sole proprietor of the word “is”) on why economics is not a science:

Oct. 11, 2001  
townhall.com

*If **economics is a science of single instances**, which means not a science, so, too, is war, particularly in an era characterized by both swiftly changing military technologies and the remarkable primitivism of some participants in war.*

May 30, 2003  
townhall.com  
George W’s tax cut victory

*To be fair, **economics is a science of single instances**, hence it is hardly a science.*

January 19, 2008  
Newsweek  
Stimulating Talk, Redux

*Hence, **economic policy is a science of single instances**.*

July 12, 2009  
townhall.com  
Higher Taxes, Anyone?

*To be fair, **economics is a science of single instances**, which means it is hardly a science.*

Mr. Will has lately taken to ridiculing such *settled science* as indicating that scientific controversy rages and the speaker’s side is losing. But he apparently does not see **his** settled opposition to a scientific economics as equally ridiculous – especially when coming from a scientist of his repute. The following of Will’s contributions to econometrics is noted in this regard:

May 04, 2004  
townhall.com

*Small wonder that a conservative wit has surmised that the wisdom of economists varies inversely with their heights. Milton Friedman, 93, is 5 feet tall. John Kenneth Galbraith, R.I.P. Galbraith is 6'8"*

This might prove useful in further conservative critique of SFEcon, as the man we have put forward as having created the science of economics is 6'3". Conservatism's testosterone-challenged, pip-squeak mouth-maven is also to be consulted for findings as to the *testosterone crazed* condition of college linebackers (townhall.com, January 28, 2001) – this having been the means by which our man paid for his education.